International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering
Volume No. 10, Issue No. 10, October 2021
www.ijarse.com
IJARSE
ISSN 2319 - 8354

Understanding the Practical implications of Chaos Theory

Smriti Trivedi

Welham Girl's School, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

Email: smriti0165@gmail.com

Contact No: + 91-7800007711

Abstract

According to chaos theory, non-linear dynamical systems that appear random but are really predictable when derived from simpler equations are in fact chaotic. Many mathematicians and scientists contributed to the development of chaos theory, and it now has applications in a wide range of scientific areas. This paper's goal is to introduce readers to chaos theory and fractals, the intricate patterns that have become the theory's symbol. Chaotic systems are discussed, as are fractals and their applications, as well as real-world examples of chaos theory in action and its drawbacks. Finally, we arrive to the concept of chaos control.

Keywords: Chaos theory, Fractals, Sensitive dependence on initial conditions (SDIC)

Introduction

The word "chaos" is derived from the Greek word "Khaos," which means "gaping nothingness. Mathematicians believe that while defining chaos is difficult, recognising it is simple. In other terms, chaos describes a situation in which a complicated natural system's behaviour is completely unpredictable. According to chaos theory (Devaney 1989), a minor change now might lead to a far larger change in the future. Small differences in initial conditions (such as those caused by rounding errors in numerical computation) can yield widely diverging results for chaotic systems and make long-term prediction impossible in general. It is an area of mathematics with applications in physics, engineering, economics and biology (Morse 1967). I really hope that my research work will be of use to anyone with an interest in learning more about this subject.

For the most part, our lives are governed by the principles of chaos theory. Nonlinear dynamic systems are the subject of this research. Mathematical systems analysis is an area of mathematics which concerns mostly with different systems that ntendi to be deterministic (orderly) but are actually chaotic. Chaotic systems can have underlying order hidden under the surface. Or, to put it another way, these systems are unpredictable due to their deterministic character (Robert 1976). Chaos, or deterministic chaos, is the word used to describe this type of behaviour. Nature is incredibly complicated, and the only thing you can count on is that she will surprise you. The beauty of the unpredictable has been captured and shown in the most amazing patterns thanks to Chaos Theory. When viewed with the proper perspective, nature reveals itself as one of the most magnificent works of art ever created. Ontological anarchy is a postulate of Chaos Theory (Lorenz 1963), which asserts that reality itself is a chaotic mess.

By conceptualizing the "Butterfly Effect," Edward Lorenz exposed the modern world to the phenomena of chaos theory in 1972. Making complicated systems more predictable begins with understanding this notion. As a result, when dealing with a system, you must be aware of all inputs and maintain control over them. Many different disciplines of science have discovered applications for chaos theory, which was created by many different mathematicians and scientists. To better understand how air travels through the atmosphere, meteorologist Lorenz devised a mathematical model. It had a significant impact on the model's performance. SDIC, which is today considered a crucial component of every chaotic system, was found as a result of his work. With the introduction of computers in the 1970s, a broad range of disciplines became interested in chaos, complexity, and self-organizing systems. Mandlebrot's discovery was the final piece in the jigsaw puzzle that linked everything together. Mandelbrot wrote a book called The Fractal Geometry of Nature (Devaney and Keen 1989) in which he investigated the mathematical foundation for pattern generation in nature, similar to Turing's previous work. Rather than trying to explain chaos, his fractals (the geometry of fractional dimensions) helped to depict or illustrate the activities of the phenomenon. On a computer, the chaos could now be viewed in full color.

The deterministic chaos means that each path in the system has its own unique development and can be identified. Unless all the beginning facts of an individual trajectory are known with absolute certainty, it is unpredictable, i.e. no one trajectory can be entirely predicted for the future or past. However, if the total number of possible paths is large enough, The chance of knowing the precise beginning data of one of them is generally zero when the sample size is extremely huge or infinite.

Systems in which Behavior is Unpredictable

Since they can't withstand external perturbations and instead respond, chaotic systems are inherently unstable. To put it another way, they don't ignore outside influences; rather, they use them to help guide their decisions. There are no implicit chance mechanisms in these deterministic systems, which are composed of a few basic differential equations. A deterministic system is one in which the future states of the system are not determined by chance. When the evolution of a system relies on the beginning circumstances, it is said to be chaotic. That is, two paths emerge from the same beginning conditions, but with distinct initial conditions close at hand. That was only discovered experimentally in the final thirty years of the twentieth century. There are many instances in nature when systems are prone to becoming wildly disorganized. Chaotic describes a wide range of natural events. For example, they may be found in the study of meteorology, the solar system, and the hearts and minds of living beings.

Chaotic systems have the following characteristics:

1. Absence of periodicity.
2. Initial conditions sensitivity.
3. Chaotic motion is difficult to predict.
4. The movement appears haphazard.
5. Non-linear.

Chaotic systems are difficult to anticipate due to the large number of variables that play a role in them. There are several calculations and mathematical equations that must be worked out. Chaotic system solutions might be difficult to extrapolate from present patterns because of their complexity. The game of roulette is a good illustration of the difference between chaotic and random systems. A statistical analysis of how many times a player wins in a game shows that the number sequence is totally random. Chaos is extremely sensitive to beginning conditions, thus even a little shift in the starting point can have dramatic consequences. Consequently, the system's outcomes are rather unexpected.

Applications of fractals

Fractals aren't only computer-generated geometric forms and attractive photos. A fractal can be anything that seems random and irregular. Fractals may be found in the tiniest of structures, like the membrane of a cell, to the largest of systems, like the solar system. Fractals are the distinctive, erratic patterns that the chaotic universe at work leaves behind. Theoretically, everything in the universe is a fractal: tracheal tube branching, tree leaves, hand veins, flowing water from a faucet, a fluffy cumulus cloud, a small oxygen molecule, DNA, etc. In practice, however, there is no way to prove this.

Ken Falconer (1985) says that a fractal has the following characteristics:

1. A fractal dimension and the ability to be distinguished are both included in the resemblance between oneself and others (exact, quasi self-similarity, statistical or qualitative).
2. The scaling of multifractals.
3. At whatever size, there is a fine and intricate structure

There are simple definitions that may or may not be recursive.

Although fractals aren't strictly related to chaos, they've long been considered part of it. "The patterns of chaos" is an excellent way to define fractals, says one author. However, while fractals show disorderly activity, if you look closely enough, you may see hints of self-similarity inside them (Devaney and Keen 1989). It's more than simply a new scientific discipline that brings together math, theory, art, and computer science for many chaologists (Falconer 2014). It's a whole revolution. New geometry has been discovered, and it describes the infinite world we live in, rather than the static representations found in textbooks. Fractal geometry is now being studied by a wide range of experts in a variety of fields, from stock market price prediction to theoretical physics discovery. There are an increasing number of scientific uses for fractals. Mainly because they are better at describing reality than traditional math and physics. Consider now the mathematical structure and characteristics of a typical fractal (Falconer 1997) curve. Helge von Koch, a Swedish mathematician, initially proposed this fractal as Koch's snowflake because of its resemblance to a snowflake.

Nature's beauty is described via fractals. Take a tree for example. Focus on a single branch and get to know it inside and out. On that branch, pick a bunch of leaves to hold. The tree, the branch, and the leaves are all the same thing to chaologists. The word "chaos" conjures up images of randomness, unpredictability, and even disorder in the minds of many people. Even though it appears chaotic, chaos is extremely well-organized and follows a set pattern. Problems emerge while trying to decipher these difficult-to-understand patterns. In order to forecast patterns in dynamical systems that appear unexpected at first glance, researchers use fractals to investigate chaos. A system is a collection of objects, such as cloud formations, changeable weather, water current movement, or animal migratory patterns, as well as a collection of equations. Many people use the weather as an example. Long-term projections, even for one week, might be completely incorrect, as forecasts are never 100% accurate. This is due to small airflow and sun heating disruptions, among other things. Even though a disturbance is little, over time it will add up to a large amount of change. This year's weather will be very different from predictions. Much of what we see in nature may be represented graphically using fractal geometry, with coastlines and mountains being the most well-known examples. Soil erosion models and seismic pattern analysis both employ fractals.

The use of fractal-shaped antennae, which are much smaller and lighter than traditional ones, is on the rise. These antennas are available from Fractenna. The advantages are determined on the fractal used, the frequency of interest, and other factors. The fractal component reduces the antenna's size for a given frequency by creating 'fractal loading.' For acceptable performance, shrinking of 2-4 times is feasible in practise. Astonishingly high levels of efficiency are achieved. Surface roughness is described using fractals. A rough surface has two fractals in it, and this is what makes it unique. Fractals can be used to study biosensor interactions (Devaney and Keen 1989). Fractal picture compression is actually the most practical application of fractals in computer science (Devaney and Keen, 1989). Compression like this takes use of the fact that fractal geometry describes the real world rather effectively. Images are compressed by a factor of ten times this manner (e.g. JPEG or GIF file formats). Fractal compression has the additional benefit of preventing pixelization when the image is expanded. When you raise the size of an image, it typically looks better.

Chaotic Theory's Uses

Chaotic systems in mathematics and other areas of our daily lives are discussed in this section. Using chaos has proved to be a fascinating and profitable endeavor. Weather patterns gave rise to chaos theory, which has since been applied to a wide range of different circumstances. Among the fields that have benefited from chaos theory in the recent past include mathematical sciences such as geology and microbiology as well as biological sciences such as biology and computer science as well as economics and engineering. In addition, as new apps emerge, there are an increasing number of detailed lists. Weather models, the stock market, bird migratory patterns, boiling water behavior, neural networks, and quantum phenomena-related systems are examples of these systems. These systems. For this theory to work, there must be two main components: the first is that all systems are dependent on a fundamental equation or principle that governs their behavior. This makes the theory deterministic, even though the equation or principle is unstable and has a large number of variables contributing to it's behavior. That a minute change in beginning circumstances, such as a rounding mistake in numerical calculation of a given dynamical system, may create catastrophic and unexpected results for that dynamical system, is the second most important component of chaos theory.

The Chaos Theory's Limitations

When constraints are properly understood, they may help both career counsellors and their clients better understand reality and find better methods to effectively negotiate it. This section will begin by arguing that limitations must be accepted as a natural aspect of human experience. After that, we'll look at the nature of limitation and the consequences it has on how we should live and work. The relativity restriction (information cannot travel faster than the speed of light) and the uncertainty principle are the two most important considerations in this case. The first indicates that it is physically impossible to know everything at the same time due to the sluggish speed at which information must travel across distances. Quantum physics says you can't know a subatomic particle's location or spin because of the second principle. I reiterate, this is not a limitation of the human race. As you gain precision in one area, you lose that precision in other areas. Statistics and chaos theory have a lot in common. A statistic won't be able to tell you exactly what a person will do, but it can tell you about where they should act. While an asteroid's orbit may not pass through the precise location in a "perpendicular" plane, it may nevertheless pass over the same section of that plain enough to defy all predictions about the asteroid's orbit. This is similar to chaos.

The difficulties of accurately predicting the future can be explained in part by chaos theory. Consider the weather. The weather is a great illustration of Chaos Theory in action. Weather patterns may be predicted quite well when they occur within the next few days or weeks. However, as time passes and more elements impact the weather, it becomes increasingly difficult to make predictions. As with most other Chaos Theory instances, this one is severely constrained by the passage of time. As time passes, there are more and more variables that affect what may occur.

the ability to keep order in the midst of confusion

The stability of one of these wildly fluctuating periodic orbits is the goal of chaos control. Thus, an otherwise chaotic movement becomes more predictable and steadier, which might be helpful. To prevent significantly altering the system's natural dynamics, the perturbation must be small in comparison to the attractor's overall size.

The OGY (Ott, Grebogi, and Yorke) method and Pyragas continuous control are the two main methodologies developed for chaos management. Before designing a controlling algorithm, either technique necessitates first determining the chaotic system's unstable periodic orbits.

I. The OGY Approach

E. Ott, C. Grebogi, and J. A. Yorke were the first to notice that a chaotic attractor contains an unlimited number of unstable periodic orbits, which may be used to gain control by making only minor perturbations to the attractor. Thereafter they demonstrated this principle by means of a specific technique, which has since been dubbed the OGY method (Ott, Grebogi, and Yorke) of stabilising an unstable periodic orbit of choice. Small, carefully designed kicks are used in the OGY technique once every cycle to keep the system near the unstable periodic orbit that is sought (Fradkov and Pogromsky 1998). In order to isolate the Poincare section and calculate the precise perturbations required to achieve stability, this technique has flaws.

II) The Pyragas Technique

A periodic orbit is stabilised using the Pyragas technique, which injects a low-strength control signal into the system as it approaches the intended periodic orbit, but rises in intensity as it wanders away from the ideal orbit. There are two types of "closed loop" or "feedback" approaches: Pyragas and OGY. Both of these methods may be used based on the same data. Only by keeping track of how the system behaves over a long enough length of time can you get information about it. The OGY method with electrostatic potential as the primary control variable have been used to try and control chaotic bubbling in a variety of systems, including turbulent fluids, oscillating chemical reactions, magneto-mechanical oscillators, and cardiac tissues. Now we'll talk about how to keep things under control in the face of disorder. There are three techniques to keep things under control in a chaotic situation: (i) Make changes to organizational settings to reduce the amount of variance; (ii) Try to arrange the chaotic system by making tiny disturbances to it; (iii)Modify the organization's connection with the environment. For a long time, this property of chaos made it undesirable, and most experimenters strenuously avoided it. Chaotic systems have two more significant features besides their sensitivity to the starting circumstances. Because of this, there exist a countless number of unstable periodic orbits in the chaotic set. A chaotic attractor's skeleton is composed of an unlimited number of unstable periodic orbits, like those seen in a fractal. As a result, the chaotic attractor's dynamics are ergodic, which means that as it evolves over time, the system makes ergodic trips to the tiny neighborhoods around every point in each of the chaotic attractor's unstable periodic orbits. It's possible to consider chaotic dynamics as creating periodic behavior at one point in time but also randomly leaping from one periodic orbit to another. A little disturbance may indeed cause an enormous reaction over time, and the choice of such a perturbation can be used to guide the trajectory to any chosen location in the attractor and create a succession of desirable dynamical states. This is true. This is how you keep pandemonium under control.

Conclusion

According to chaos theory, there are new ways of looking at things. It introduces us to a new way of thinking about measures and weighing things. It has a whole new perspective on the cosmos. Understanding chaos helps us make sense of the world around us. Complex behaviour may be modelled using even simple systems because of the chaotic nature of the world. Chaos serves as a connector between seemingly disparate disciplines. When it comes to observational data, chaos gives a new perspective, especially for those who have been overlooked due of their extreme unpredictable nature. In the current temporal physics, with entropy, chaos, and fractal dimensions conferring validity to things that we can sense and measure, it somehow invalidates the concept of a basic or genuine reality that can be explained by an elegant model. The usage of such models involves much too many simplifications and may, for example, lead to the mathematical structure of mechanics' reversibility of time. In order to enhance the validity of our future models and plans, more study should be done in that sector, especially in economics, where future models and plans may offer vital information on the overall state of the economy in different countries.

Acknowledgement: I am very much thankful to Prof. Keshav Kant (Ex. Professor, Mechanical Engineering, IIT Kanpur) for his support and guidance during entire project.

References

1. Pecora, L. "1993." Mastering Chaos in Scientific American (n.d.):
2. Devaney, R. L and Keen, L., 1989 "Chaos and Fractals: The Mathematics behind the Computer graphics (n.d.):
3. Chen, G. "and Ueta, T., 2002." Chaos in Circuits and Systems (n.d.): 981-02-4933-00.
4. Decroly, O. (508). 1995. Dynamical Systems for Music Dynamics, chaos 5:
5. Biswas, H. "R., 2014." One Dimensional Chaotic Dynamical Systems, J. P. App. Math.:
6. Gollub, J. (n.d.). P., 1990. Chaotic Dynamics: An Introduction.
7. Fradkov A. L. and Pogromsky A.Yu. 1998. Introduction to Control of Oscillations and Chaos.Singapore: World Scientific Publishers.
8. Falconer, K., 1997. *Techniques in Fractal Geometry. John Wiley.*
9. Falconer, K., 2014. *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.*
10. Townsend, J. 1992.Chaos Theory: A Brief Tutorial and Discussion, Indiana University
11. Lorenz, E. 1993. The Essence of Chaos, London: *UCL Press*.
12. Falconer, K., 1985. The Geometry of Fractal Sets. Cambridge University Press.
13. Falconer, K., 1997. Techniques in Fractal Geometry. John Wiley.