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This paper is related to the statistical analysis of the data. After completion of full-fledged survey with finalized questionnaire, data was arranged in an orderly fashion in a summary of spread sheet, by counting the frequency of responses of each question. The hypotheses have beenformulated and tested using SPSS software and the results have been arrived at. The totalanalysis was carried out by using SPSS 20 software package.

## - DEMOGRAPHICAL PROFILE OF RESPONDENTS

The respondents of the study are classified into three categories. They are, area of respondents, Gender of respondents and Age of respondents. In the area of respondents, apple growers are differentiated by the area in which they depend. So, people from rural, urban, semi-urban and valley involve themselves in Apple cultivation. On the gender basis, it is divided into male and female respondents. In the Age of respondents table, it is divided between 20 years to 50 years.

## SOCIAL STATUS AND LITERACY LEVEL OF THE RESPONDENTS

After doing the survey, participants can be categorized based on their social status. BY doingthis, one can easily examine the mostly engaged society in the apple farming. Most applegrowers have just finished their school. Therefore, based on their education level such as illiterate, school level, college level, and professional can be included. The classification performed based on apple grower's education and social status is presented in Table 5.2.

Table 5.2 Social status and Literacy level of the participants

| Particulars | category | Participants | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social Status | General Category (GC) | 62 | 17.71 |
|  | Backward Classes (BC) | 210 | 60 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Most Backward Classes } \\ & (\mathrm{MBC}) \end{aligned}$ | 45 | 12.85 |
|  | Scheduled Castes (SC) <br> Scheduled Tribes (ST)  | 33 | 9.42 |
| Literacy Level | Illiterate | 11 | 3.14 |
|  | School Level | 171 | 48.85 |
|  | College Level | 95 | 27.14 |
|  | Professional | 60 | 17.14 |
|  | Others | 15 | 4.28 |
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From table 5.2, it is clear that based on social status, majority of the participants ( $62.31 \%$ ) are from BC, $18.39 \%$ are from GC, $10.38 \%$ are from MBC and rest $8.90 \%$ from SC and ST category. According to literacy of participants, about $48.33 \%$ participants have completed their school education and were responsible for apple production. 25.67 \% participants have completed their college, $18.12 \%$ were professionals, $3.32 \%$ participants are illiterate and rest $4.53 \%$ of the participants are others.
From this survey it was clear that the participants based on social status, the majority 62.31 percentage participants are from BC. The participants based on their literacy level, the majority $48.33 \%$ participants finished their school education.

## - OCCUPATION AND LAND CATEGORY DETAILS

Most of the people involved in Apple production are people who make it possible as their main income source, and the rest were consider it as their secondary income. Thus, most of the population uses their land for agriculture, while the remaining people grow apples on rentedland. During this survey, we have also collected information related to the total number of family members of the respondent. Below table illustrates the participant's occupation, family members and the land category (lease or their own).
Table 5.3 Participants' occupation, family size, and land type

| Particulars | Category | Participants | $\%$ age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Main | 250 | 71.42 |
| Occupation | allied | 100 | 28.57 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Family size | $<5$ | 62 | 17.71 |
|  | $5-7$ | 94 | 55.42 |
|  | $>7$ | 26.85 |  |
| Land Category | Owned | 235 | 67.14 |
|  | Leased | 115 | 32.54 |

From the Table above it is observed that $71.42 \%$ of participants have apple production as their main occupation while rest 28.57 having apple production as secondary income source. Depending upon the size of the family, family having members 5-7 were engaged $55.42 \%$ compared to rest of the family size such as $<5$ and $>7$. According to land category, owned land participants are more compared to leased land.

## - APPLE CULTIVATION DETAILS

It is a well-known fact that with the increase in number of trees per acre, it will immediately increases productivity per hectare. But when using pesticides and the like, it is useful to take preventive measures on the trees. Mainly three kinds of irrigation equipments are used that are: drip irrigation, well irrigation and canal irrigation. Involvement in various irrigation facilities, use of pesticides, and calculation of trees per acre are displayed in
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the following table.
Table 5.4 No. of Trees per acre, Preventive Measures and Irrigation measures

| Particulars | Category | Participants | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| acre |  | 60 | 17.14 |
|  | $125-160$ | 175 | 50 |
| Defensive measure of Trees per | Pesticide | 115 | 32.85 |
|  | Others | 350 | 100 |
| Irrigation facility | Drip | - | 0 |
|  | Well | 120 | 34 |
|  | Canal | 50 | 14.28 |

From the Table 5.4, it is clear that $50 \%$ of the participants have $125-160$ tress per acre, while $32.85 \%$ have grown more than 160 trees per acre and rest $17.14 \%$ of participants have grown 90-120 tress per acre. All participants have used pesticide for trees protection and hence increase their apple production. Mainly three irrigation schemes are used by Kashmir valley apple growers. Among three irrigation scheme, canal scheme is used by $51.42 \%$ of participants, while 14.28 participants used well scheme and rest $34 \%$ participants used drip irrigation scheme.

## - CATEGORY WISE DETAILS OF PRODUCTION OF APPLE

Apple is the most important cash crop of the hill states of India. So, apple cultivation is thehighly profitable economic activity in the state of Jammu and Kashmir. Apple production plays an important role in improving the standard of living, per capita income and employmentgeneration. The various varieties provide different amount of production per year. The names of the varieties are Golden, delicious, Kallu, Maharaja and American. So, the Table 5.11 that calculating the production per annum is given below Table 5.5 Production/ Year (Tones)
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| Particulars | Less than 10 <br> Ton | $\mathbf{1 0 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 3 0}$ | More than 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Delicious | 30 | 40 | 55 | 225 |
| Kalu | 47 | 93 | 166 | 44 |
| American | 42 | 54 | 63 | 191 |
| Golden | 17 | 34 | 83 | 216 |
| Maharaji | 111 | 132 | 74 | 33 |

The Table 5.11 shows that the per annum production of apple growers. In the Delicious variety, out of 320 respondents, the majority 213 respondents produce more than 30 tons of apples, 59 respondents produce 20 to 30 tons of apples, 23 respondents produce 10 to 20 tons of apples and 25 respondents produce less than 10 tons of apples. In the kallu variety, out of 320 respondents, the majority 161 respondents produce $20-30$ tons of apples, 82 respondents produce $10-20$ tonsof apples 44 respondents produce less than 10 tons of apples and the rest 33 respondents producemore than 30 tons of apples. In the American variety, out of 320 respondents, the majority 182 respondents produce more than 30 tons of apples and 58 respondents produce $20-30$ tons of apples, 41 respondents produce $10-20$ tons of apples and rest 39 respondents produce less than 10 tons of apples. In the Golden variety, out of 320 respondents, the majority 204 respondents produce more than 30 tons of apple, 68 respondents produce $20-30$ tons of apples. 33 respondents produce $10-20$ tons of apples and the rest 15 respondents produce less than 10 tonsof apples.

## - PARTICIPANTS EXPERIENCE AS APPLE GROWERS AND IRRIGATIONFACILITY METHOD

The following table 5.15 explains the experience of apple growers in this Apple cultivation business. More people have 10 to 20 years of experience. Some of them have below 5 years of experience. The second table explains the method of irritation practice by the cultivators. The three types of irrigation are drip irrigation, well irrigation and canal irrigation. Most of the peopleare using canal irrigation in their orchards.

Table 5.6 Growers' Experience and method of irrigation facility

| Particulars | Category | Participants | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Experience in Apple <br> industry | K5 year (Yr) | 50 | 14.28 |
|  | $5-10$ | 66 | 18.85 |
|  | $10-15$ | 104 | 29.71 |
|  | $15-20$ | 47 | 13.42 |
| Irrigation method | $>20$ | 83 | 23.71 |
|  | Drip | 120 | 34 |
|  | Well | 50 | 14.28 |
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It is clear from the Table 5.15 that the majority 41.8 percentage respondents are having 10-20 years of experience, 26.56 percentage respondents are having more than twenty years of experience, 17.50 percentage respondents are having 5-10 years of experience and the left 14.06 percentage respondents are having less than 5 years of experience. In canal irrigation facility the majority 56.11 percentage respondents are using canal irrigation, 29.44 percentage respondents are using Drip irrigation and the left 14.44 percentage respondents are using well irrigation. In this study, it is stated that the majority 41.88 percentage respondents are having 10-20 years of experience and the majority 56.11 percentage respondents are having canal irrigation facility.

## - PROBLEMS FACED BY APPLE GROWERS IN JAMMU \& KASHMIR

The problems faced by apple growers led to shortage in production and marketing of apples. Serious problems faced by the cultivators are snowfall, lack of transport facilities, financialcrisis, military disturbances, frequent change of weather conditions, shortage of labour, lack of storage facilities and also high wages. On the basis of the problems faced by the cultivators, it is ranked in the Table 5.17 below.

Table 5.7 Problem faced by J\&K Apple Growers

| Particulars | Mean value | Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Snow fall | 2.25 | 4 |
| Transportation shortage | 5.24 | 1 |
| Financial shortage | 4.35 | 2 |
| Labour shortage | 1.47 | 3 |
| Lack of storage | 3.12 |  |

Table 5.7 listed the problems faced by J \& K apple growers. From the survey it was clear that transportation is the main hindrance medium in the growth of apple production. Lack of financialis the second highest means of problem. Lack of storage is the third one major problem faced by J\& K apple growers. Snow fall and shortage of labour are the third and fourth highest problem faced by $\mathrm{J} \& \mathrm{~K}$ apple growers.

## - SATISFACTION LEVEL AND HARVESTING DETAILS

There are different opinions about the income of apple growers. Some are very happy with their income and some with their salary. Most people thought that this was fair and the money they earned unexpectedly was enough to make a living. Some farmers are still dissatisfied with their benefits, while others are very dissatisfied with their salaries. Table 5.8 shows the income earnedfrom apple cultivation and harvesting as follows:
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Table 5.8 Income earned by cultivation and harvesting

| Particulars | Category | participants | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cultivation | Highly satisfied | 68 | 19.42 |
|  | Satisfied | 87 | 24.857 |
|  | Fair | 135 | 38.57 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 40 | 11.42 |
|  | Highly dissatisfied | 20 | 5.71 |
| Harvesting | September | 89 | 25.42 |
|  | October | 157 | 44.85 |
|  | November | 104 | 29.71 |

From the above Table 5.8, it is clear that $38.57 \%$ of participants have fairish income incultivation. On the other hand, during the month of October majority of participants about $44.85 \%$ of participants were harvested their crop. $29.71 \%$ participants were harvest their crop in the month of November and remaining $25.42 \%$ of participants were harvest in the month ofSeptember.
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